

Martingales: Optional Sampling

Karlin & Taylor, *A First Course in Stochastic Processes*, pp 253–278

I. Review of Uniform Integrability

A. Definitions

1. X_n are UI if $\forall \epsilon \exists a < \infty \forall n \int_{\{|X_n| > a\}} |X_n| dP < \epsilon$
2. X_n are UI if $\forall \epsilon \exists \delta \forall n \mathbb{P}[E] < \delta \Rightarrow \int_E |X_n| dP < \epsilon$

B. Sufficient Conditions

1. X_n are UI if $\exists Y \in L^1, |X_n| \leq Y$ a.s.
2. X_n are UI if $\exists p > 1, M < \infty, \mathbb{E}|X_n|^p \leq M$
3. If X_t is a martingale and $T < \infty$ then $\{X_t : t \leq T\}$ is UI

C. Consequences

1. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in *pr.* (or *a.s.*), then $X_n \rightarrow X$ in L^1 iff $\mathbb{E}|X_n| \rightarrow \mathbb{E}|X|$ iff the X_n are UI.

II. Markov Times

A. Definition: $[\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t] \in \mathcal{F}_t$

1. Discrete time: $[\omega : \tau(\omega) = n] \in \mathcal{F}_n$ is good enough
2. $[\omega : \tau(\omega) < n] \in \mathcal{F}_n$ is *not* good enough

B. Examples

1. Constant times: $\tau = t_0$
2. Hitting times: $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in B\}$ (especially, $\tau_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X_t| > R\}$; $X_{t \wedge \tau_R}$ is bounded)
3. Jump times: $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X_t - X_{t-}| > \epsilon\}$ (including $\epsilon = 0$)
4. Successive hitting times: $\tau_n = \inf\{t > \tau_{n-1} : X_t \in B\}$

C. Stability: If $\tau_n \geq 0$ are Markov times,

1. Minimum: $\tau_1 \wedge \tau_2$ is Markov
2. Maximum: $\tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ is Markov
3. Sum: $\tau_1 + \tau_2$ is Markov
4. Difference: $\tau_1 - \tau_2$ is **not** Markov
5. Sup: $\sup_{n < \infty} \tau_n$ is Markov
6. Approx: $\tau_n = \lfloor 2^n \tau \rfloor / 2^n$ is a discrete Markov time, $\tau_n \leq \tau$, and $\tau_n \nearrow \tau$ a.s.

III. Optional Sampling Theorem: If τ is a Markov time and M_n a martingale, $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau \wedge t}]$ for every $t < \infty$, and so $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau \wedge t}]$. *Maybe* $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = \mathbb{E}[M_\tau]$.A. $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau \wedge t}]$

1. Easy for discrete τ 's: $\sum_{t_i \leq t} \int_{\tau=t_i} M_{t_i} dP + \int_{\tau > t} M_t dP = \int M_t dP = \mathbb{E}M_0$
2. Now extend to all τ 's, using I.B.3 and II.C.6

B. $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = \mathbb{E}[M_\tau]$ if $\{M_t : t < \infty\}$ is UI:

1. *e.g.*, if $Y = \sup_{t < \infty} |M_t| \in L^1$; or
2. *e.g.*, if $\exists p > 1 \ni \sup_{t < \infty} \mathbb{E}|M_t|^p < \infty$
3. *e.g.*, if $\mathbb{E}|M_\tau| < \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\tau > t]} |M_t| dP = 0$

C. Applications of Optional Sampling Theorem

1. Wald's identity: Let Y_i be iid with $\phi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\theta Y_i}]$ satisfying $1 < \phi(\theta) < \infty$ for some θ ; then if $S_n = \sum_{i \leq n} Y_i$, then $X_n = e^{\theta S_n} \phi(\theta)^{-n}$ is a martingale. If $-a < 0 < b$ and $\tau = \inf\{n : S_n < -a \text{ or } S_n > b\}$, and if $\phi(\theta_0) = 1$, then $1 = \mathbb{E}X_0 = \mathbb{E}X_{\theta S_\tau} \approx e^{\theta_0 b} \mathbb{P}[S_\tau \geq b] + e^{-\theta_0 a} \mathbb{P}[S_\tau \leq -a]$, from which we can estimate $\mathbb{P}[S_\tau \geq b]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\tau] = \mathbb{E}[S_\tau] / \mathbb{E}[Y_1]$.
2. Examples: Dams, option prices, markov chains

Karlin & Taylor's Dam Example

Let Z_t be the reservoir water level on day t . A dam of height b leads to the bound $Z_t \leq b$; on day t , I_t flows into the reservoir and (at most) O_t flows out, so

$$Z_{t+1} = 0 \vee (Z_t + I_t - O_t) \wedge b.$$

Although we can control b and O_t , I_t is random and so Z_t is a stochastic process. Suppose that it is important to have $Z_t > a$ for some $0 < a < b$; set $\tau \equiv \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z_t < a\}$. How should b and O_t be chosen to make sure $G(z) = \mathbb{E}[\tau \mid Z_0 = z]$ is large? How can we estimate $G(z)$?

Denote the net inflow by $Y_t = I_t - O_t$, so that Z_{t+1} is just $Z_t + Y_t$, truncated to the interval $[0, b]$. Extend the definition of $G(z)$ so that $G(z) = 0$, $z \leq a$, and $G(z) = G(b)$, $z \geq b$. Then $G(z)$ is increasing and satisfies, for $a < z < b$,

$$G(z) = \mathbb{E}[\tau \mid Z_0 = z] = 1 + \mathbb{E}[G(z + Y_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \quad \star$$

The stochastic process $X_t = G(Z_t) + t$ satisfies

$$X_t = G(Z_t) + t = 1 + \mathbb{E}[G(Z_t + Y_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] + t = \mathbb{E}[G(Z_{t+1}) + (t + 1) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t],$$

i.e., X_t is a martingale. The strategy is to try to find a function $g(z)$ that nearly satisfies \star ; to bound $\mathbb{E}[\tau]$ from below, we'll need a $g(z)$ satisfying

$$g(z) \leq 1 + \mathbb{E}[g(z + Y_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \quad \star\star$$

which will make $X_t = g(Z_t) + t$ into a *submartingale*, satisfying

$$X_t = g(Z_t) + t \leq \mathbb{E}[X_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[g(Z_{t+1}) + (t + 1) \mid \mathcal{F}_t],$$

so *if* the optional sampling theorem applies, we can conclude

$$g(z) = \mathbb{E}[X_0 \mid Z_0 = z] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \mid Z_0 = z] = \mathbb{E}[g(Z_\tau) + \tau \mid Z_0 = z] = \mathbb{E}[\tau \mid Z_0 = z]$$

and so that $\mathbb{E}[\tau \mid Z_0 = z] \geq g(z)$. The text verifies that, under stated conditions,

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{m} \left[e^{\lambda(b-a)} \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda(z-a)}}{\lambda} - (z - a) \right]$$

satisfies $\star\star$ and, therefore, $\mathbb{E}[\tau \mid Z_0 = z] \geq g(z)$.