Lecture 15 - Correlation and Regression

Sta102 / BME102

Colin Rundel

March 31, 2014

Projects

Please remember.

- Project 1 is due Friday, April 4th.
- Project 2 Proposal is due Friday, April 11th.

And now some advise on writing from the creators of South Park ...

http://www.mtvu.com/shows/stand-in/ trey-parker-matt-stone-surprise-nyu-class/

Lec 15

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)

Modeling numerical variables

Modeling numerical variables

- So far we have worked with single numerical and categorical variables, and explored relationships between numerical and categorical, and two categorical variables.
- This week we will learn to quantify the relationship between two numerical variables, as well as modeling numerical response variables using a numerical or categorical explanatory variable.
- Next week we will learn to model numerical variables using many explanatory variables at once.

Modeling numerical variables

Poverty vs. HS graduate rate

The scatterplot below shows the relationship between HS graduate rate in all 50 US states and DC and the % of residents who live below the poverty line (income below \$23,050 for a family of 4 in 2012).



Correlation

Quantifying the relationship

- *Correlation* describes the strength of the *linear* association between two variables.
- It takes values between -1 (perfect negative) and +1 (perfect positive).
- A value of 0 indicates no linear association.
- We use ρ to indicate the population correlation coefficient, and R or r to indicate the sample correlation coefficient.

Correlation Examples



From http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Correlation

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014 6 / 35

Correlation Covariance and Correlation

Lec 15

Covariance

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)

We have previously discussed the variance as a measure of uncertainty of a random variable:

$$Var(X) = \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu_X)^2$$

In order to define correlation we first need to define covariance, which is a generalization of variance to two random variables

$$Cov(X,Y) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu_X)(y_i - \mu_Y)$$

Covariance is not a measure of uncertainly but rather a measure of the degree to which X and Y tend to be large (or small) at the same time or the degree to which one tends to be large while the other is small.

Correlation Covariance and Correlation

Covariance, cont.

The magnitude of the covariance is not very informative since it is affected by the magnitude of both X and Y. However, the sign of the covariance tells us something useful about the relationship between X and Y.

Consider the following conditions:

- $x_i > \mu_X$ and $y_i > \mu_Y$ then $(x_i \mu_X)(y_i \mu_Y)$ will be positive.
- $x_i < \mu_X$ and $y_i < \mu_Y$ then $(x_i \mu_X)(y_i \mu_Y)$ will be positive.
- $x_i > \mu_X$ and $y_i < \mu_Y$ then $(x_i \mu_X)(y_i \mu_Y)$ will be negative.
- $x_i < \mu_X$ and $y_i > \mu_Y$ then $(x_i \mu_X)(y_i \mu_Y)$ will be negative.

Correlation Covariance and Correlation

Properties of Covariance

- Cov(X, X) = Var(X)
- Cov(X, Y) = Cov(Y, X)
- Cov(X, Y) = 0 if X and Y are independent
- Cov(X, c) = 0

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)

- Cov(aX, bY) = ab Cov(X, Y)
- Cov(X + a, Y + b) = Cov(X, Y)
- Cov(X, Y + Z) = Cov(X, Y) + Cov(X, Z)

Lec 15

Correlation

Since Cov(X, Y) depends on the magnitude of X and Y we would prefer to have a measure of association that is not affected by changes in the scales of the variables.

The most common measure of *linear* association is correlation which is defined as

$$\rho(X, Y) = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$
$$-1 < \rho(X, Y) < 1$$

Where the magnitude of the correlation measures the strength of the *linear* association and the sign determines if it is a positive or negative relationship.

Lec 15

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel) Lec 15	March 31, 2014 9 / 35	5 Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014	10 / 35
Correlation Cova Correlation and Independence	iance and Correlation	Guessing the correla	Correlation Covariance ar	nd Correlation	
Given random variables X and Y X and Y are independent \implies $Cov(X, Y) = \rho(X, Y) = 0 \Rightarrow$	$Cov(X, Y) = \rho(X, Y) = 0$ X and Y are independent	Which of the following is poverty and % HS grad?	the best guess for th	 (a) 0.6 (b) -0.75 (c) -0.1 (d) 0.02 (e) -1.5 	% in

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)

Correlation Covariance and Correlation

Guessing the correlation

Which of the following is the best guess for the correlation between % in poverty and % single mother household?



Assessing the correlation

Which of the following is has the strongest correlation, i.e. correlation coefficient closest to +1 or -1?



Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014 14 / 35

Best fit line - least squares regression Eyeballing the line

Eyeballing the line

Which of the following appears to be the line that best fits the linear relationship between % in poverty and % HS grad?



Best fit line - least squares regression Residu

Quantifying best fit



Lec 15

Best fit line - least squares regression Residuals

Residuals

Residual

Residual is the difference between the observed and predicted y.

 $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$



- % living in poverty in DC is 5.44% more than predicted.
- % living in poverty in RI is 4.16% less than predicted.

Best fit line - least squares regression Residuals

A measure for the best line

- We want a line that has small residuals:
 - Option 1: Minimize the sum of magnitudes (absolute values) of residuals

 $|e_1|+|e_2|+\cdots+|e_n|$

Option 2: Minimize the sum of squared residuals – *least squares*

$$e_1^2 + e_2^2 + \cdots + e_n^2$$

• Why least squares?

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)

Given...

- Most commonly used
- 2 Easier to compute by hand and using software

Best fit line - least squares regression

In many applications, a residual twice as large as another is more than twice as bad

Lec 15

Sta102 / BME102	(Colin Rundel)	Lec 15

Best fit line - least squares regression Resid

The least squares line



Notation:

- Intercept:
 - Parameter: β_0
 - Point estimate: *b*₀
- Slope:
 - Parameter: β_1
 - Point estimate: *b*₁



	% HS grad	% in poverty
	(x)	(<i>y</i>)
mean	$\bar{x} = 86.01$	$\bar{y} = 11.35$
sd	$s_x = 3.73$	$s_y = 3.1$
	correlation	R = -0.75

Slope

Slope

The slope of the regression can be calculated as

 $b_1 = \frac{s_y}{s_y}R$

In context...

$$b_1 = \frac{3.1}{3.73} \times -0.75 = -0.62$$

Interpretation

For each % point increase in HS graduate rate, we would *expect* the % living in poverty to decrease *on average* by 0.62% points.

Intercept

Intercept

The intercept is where the regression line intersects the *y*-axis. The calculation of the intercept uses the fact the a regression line always passes through (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) .

 $b_0 = \bar{y} - b_1 \bar{x}$



			$D_0 = 11.55 - (-0.02) \times 00.01 = 04.00$			
Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014 21 / 35	Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014	22 / 35

Best fit line - least squares regression The least squares line

Interpreting Intercepts

Which of the following is the correct interpretation of the intercept?

- (a) For each % point increase in HS graduate rate, % living in poverty is expected to increase on average by 64.68%.
- (b) For each % point decrease in HS graduate rate, % living in poverty is expected to increase on average by 64.68%.
- (c) Having no HS graduates leads to 64.68% of residents living below the poverty line.
- (d) States with no HS graduates are expected on average to have 64.68% of residents living below the poverty line.
- (e) In states with no HS graduates % living in poverty is expected to increase on average by 64.68%.

Best fit line - least squares regression The least squares line

Regression line





Best fit line - least squares regression The least squares lin

Interpretation of slope and intercept

- *Intercept:* When x = 0, y is expected to equal *the intercept*.
- *Slope:* For each *unit* increase in *x*, *y* is expected to *increase/decrease* on average by *the slope*.



Prediction

- Using the linear model to predict the value of the response variable for a given value of the explanatory variable is called *prediction*, simply by plugging in the value of x in the linear model equation.
- There will be some uncertainty associated with the predicted value we'll talk about this next time.



200 10	

Best fit line - least squares regression Prediction & extrapolation

Extrapolation

- Applying a model estimate to values outside of the realm of the original data is called *extrapolation*.
- Sometimes the intercept might be an extrapolation.



Lec 15

Best fit line - least squares regression Prediction & extra

Examples of extrapolation



March 31, 2014

Examples of extrapolation



Momentous sprint at the 2156 Olympics?

Women sprinters are closing the gap on men and may one day overtake them.



Best fit line - least squares regression

R^2

- The strength of the fit of a linear model is most commonly evaluated using R^2 .
- R^2 is calculated as the square of the correlation coefficient.
- It tells us what percent of variability in the response variable is explained by the model.
- The remainder of the variability is explained by variables not included in the model.
- Sometimes called the coefficient of determination.
- For the model we've been working with, $R^2 = -0.62^2 = 0.38$.

Best fit line - least squares regression

Interpretation of R^2

Examples of extrapolation

Which of the below is the correct interpretation of R = -0.62, $R^2 = 0.38$?

- (a) 38% of the variability in the % of HG graduates among the 51 states is explained by the model.
- (b) 38% of the variability in the % of residents living in poverty among the 51 states is explained by the model.
- (c) 38% of the time % HS graduates predict % living in poverty correctly.
- (d) 62% of the variability in the % of residents living in poverty among the 51 states is explained by the model.



Best fit line - least squares regression

Another look at R

For a linear regression we have defined the correlation coefficient to be

$$R = \operatorname{Cor}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$$

This definition works fine for the simple linear regression case where X and Y are numerical variable, but does not work well in some of the extensions we will see this week and next week.

A better definition is $R = \text{Cor}(Y, \hat{Y})$, which will work for all regression examples we will see in this class. Additionally, it is equivalent to Cor(X, Y) in the case of simple linear regression and it is useful for obtaining a better understanding of the meaning of R^2 .

Another look at R, cont.

Claim: $Cor(X, Y) = Cor(Y, \hat{Y})$ Remember: $Cor(X, Y) = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$, $\hat{Y} = b_0 + b_1 X$, $Var(aX + b) = a^2 Var(X)$, Cov(aX + b, Y) = a Cov(X, Y)

Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014	33 / 35	Sta102 / BME102 (Colin Rundel)	Lec 15	March 31, 2014 34 / 35
Best fit line - least sq	uares regression R ²					
Another look at R^2						

Just like with ANOVA we can partition total uncertainty into model uncertainty and residual uncertainty.

$$SST = SSM + SSR$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \mu_Y)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{Y}_i - \mu_Y)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$$

Based on this definition,

$$R^{2} = \frac{SSM}{SST} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{Y}_{i} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \hat{Y}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \mu_{Y})^{2}}$$