

More on Bayesian Methods: Part II

Rebecca C. Steorts

Bayesian Methods and Modern Statistics: STA 360/601

Lecture 5

Today's menu

- ▶ Confidence intervals
- ▶ Credible Intervals
- ▶ Example

Confidence intervals vs credible intervals

A confidence interval for an unknown (fixed) parameter θ is an interval of numbers that we believe is likely to contain the true value of θ .

Intervals are important because they provide us with an idea of how well we can estimate θ .

Confidence intervals vs credible intervals

- ▶ A *confidence interval* is constructed to contain θ a percentage of the time, say 95%.
- ▶ Suppose our confidence level is 95% and our interval is (L, U) . Then we are 95% confident that the true value of θ is contained in (L, U) in *the long run*.
- ▶ In the long run means that this would occur nearly 95% of the time if we repeated our study millions and millions of times.

Common Misconceptions in Statistical Inference

- ▶ A confidence interval is a statement about θ (a **population parameter**).
- ▶ It is *not* a statement about the sample.
- ▶ It is also *not* a statement about individual subjects in the population.

Common Misconceptions in Statistical Inference

- ▶ Let a 95% confidence interval for the average amount of television watched by Americans be (2.69, 6.04) hours.
- ▶ This *doesn't* mean we can say that 95% of all Americans watch between 2.69 and 6.04 hours of television.
- ▶ We also *cannot* say that 95% of Americans in the sample watch between 2.69 and 6.04 hours of television.
- ▶ Beware that statements such as these are false.
- ▶ However, we can say that we are 95 percent confident that the *average amount of television watched by Americans* is between 2.69 and 6.04 hours.

Credible intervals

Let θ be a random variable (parameter). A confidence (credible region) on θ is to determine $C(X_n)$ such that

$$\pi(\theta \in C(X_n) \mid X_n) = 1 - \alpha,$$

where α is predetermined such as 0.05.

Simple definition of credible interval

A Bayesian credible interval of size $1 - \alpha$ is an interval (a, b) such that

$$P(a \leq \theta \leq b|x) = 1 - \alpha.$$

$$\int_a^b p(\theta|x) d\theta = 1 - \alpha.$$

Remark: When you're calculating credible intervals, you'll find the values of a and b by several means. You could be asked do the following:

- ▶ *Find the a, b using means of calculus to determine the credible interval or set.*
- ▶ *Use a Z-table when appropriate.*
- ▶ *Use R to approximate the values of a and b .*

Important Point

Our definition for the credible interval could lead to many choices of (a, b) for particular problems.

Suppose that we required our credible interval to have equal probability $\alpha/2$ in each tail. That is, we will assume

$$P(\theta < a|x) = \alpha/2$$

and

$$P(\theta > b|x) = \alpha/2.$$

Important Point

Is the credible interval still unique? No. Consider

$$\pi(\theta|x) = I(0 < \theta < 0.025) + I(1 < \theta < 1.95) + I(3 < \theta < 3.025)$$

so that the density has three separate plateaus. Now notice that any (a, b) such that $0.025 < a < 1$ and $1.95 < b < 3$ satisfies the proposed definition of a ostensibly “unique” credible interval. To fix this, we can simply require that

$$\{\theta : \pi(\theta|x) \text{ is positive}\}$$

(i.e., the support of the posterior) must be an interval.

Confidence interval

- ▶ Conceptually, probability comes into play in a frequentist confidence interval *before* collecting the data.
- ▶ Ex: there is a 95% probability that we will collect data that produces an interval that contains the true parameter value.
- ▶ Awkard!
- ▶ We would like to make statements about the probability that the interval contains the true parameter value given the data that we actually observed.

Credible interval

- ▶ Meanwhile, probability comes into play in a Bayesian credible interval **after** collecting the data
- ▶ Ex: based on the data, we now think there is a 95% probability that the true parameter value is in the interval.
- ▶ This is more natural because we want to make a probability statement regarding that data after we have observed it.

Sleep Example

- ▶ Interested in the proportion of the population of American college students that sleep at least eight hours each night (θ).
- ▶ Suppose a random sample of 27 students from UF, where 11 students recorded they slept at least eight hours each night.
- ▶ $X \sim \text{Binomial}(27, \theta)$.

Suppose that the prior on θ was $\text{Beta}(3.3, 7.2)$. Thus, the posterior distribution is

$$\theta|11 \sim \text{Beta}(11 + 3.3, 27 - 11 + 7.2), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\theta|11 \sim \text{Beta}(14.3, 23.2).$$

Sleep Example

- ▶ Suppose now we would like to find a 90% credible interval for θ .
- ▶ We cannot compute this in closed form since computing probabilities for Beta distributions involves messy integrals that we do not know how to compute.
- ▶ However, we can use R to find the interval.

We need to solve

$$P(\theta < c|x) = 0.05$$

and

$$P(\theta > d|x) = 0.05 \text{ for } c \text{ and } d.$$

Sleep Example

We cannot compute this in closed form because we need to compute

$$\int_0^c \text{Beta}(14.3, 23.2) d\theta = 0.05$$

and

$$\int_d^1 \text{Beta}(14.3, 23.2) d\theta = 0.05.$$

Note that $\text{Beta}(14.3, 23.2)$ represents

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(37.5)}{\Gamma(14.3)\Gamma(23.2)} \theta^{14.3-1} (1-\theta)^{23.2-1}.$$

Sleep Example

The R code for this is very straightforward:

```
a = 3.3
b = 7.2
n = 27
x = 11
a.star = x+a
b.star = n-x+b

c = qbeta(0.05,a.star,b.star)
d = qbeta(1-0.05,a.star,b.star)
```

Running the code in R, we find that a 90% credible interval for θ is (0.256, 0.514), meaning that there is a 90% probability that the proportion of Duke students who sleep eight or more hours per night is between 0.256 and 0.514 given the data.