

- Bayes' Rule
- Some Exercises
- Review Probability
- Discuss Quizzes/Answer Questions

## 10.0 Lesson Plan

Recall the addition rule for OR, where “or” means that either A or B or both occur.

$P[A \text{ or } B] = P[A] + P[B] - P[A \text{ and } B]$ .

There are two special cases. One arises when A and B are mutually exclusive, and the other arises when A and B are independent.

## 10.1 Review

$$P[A \text{ or } B] = P[B] + P[A]$$

and the formula reduces to:

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are independent events then the occurrence of one does not affect the occurrence of the other, so  $P[A \text{ and } B] = P[A] * P[B]$
- (as in the Kolmogorov axiom).

$$P[A \text{ or } B] = P[B] + P[A]$$

- happen, so  $P[A \text{ and } B] = 0$  and the formula reduces to:
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are mutually exclusive, then it is impossible for both to

Recall the multiplication rule for AND, where "and" means that both of  $A$  and  $B$  must occur.

$P[A \text{ and } B] = P[A|B] * P[B] = P[B|A] * P[A]$ .

Here, the conditional probability  $P[A|B]$  is the probability that event  $A$  occurs, given that event  $B$  is known to occur. The mathematical definition is:

$P[A|B] = P[A \text{ and } B]/P[B]$ .

As before, there are two special cases that arise when the events are mutually exclusive or independent.

Note that if  $A$  is independent of  $B$ , then  $B$  is independent of  $A$ . That is, if the occurrence of  $A$  gives no information about  $B$ , then the occurrence of  $B$  gives no information about  $A$ . This is true, but perhaps not obvious.

$$\begin{aligned} P[A \text{ and } B] &= P[B|A] * P[A] = P[B] * P[A] \\ P[A \text{ and } B] &= P[A|B] * P[B] = P[A] * P[B] \end{aligned}$$

equivalently,  $P[B|A] = P[B]$ . Then:

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are independent events then the occurrence of one does not affect the occurrence of the other, so  $P[A|B] = P[A]$  and,

- If  $A$  and  $B$  are mutually exclusive,  $P[A \text{ and } B] = 0$ .

where  $n! = n * (n - 1) * \dots * 2 * 1$  (and  $0!$  is defined to be 1).

$$\frac{!(n-u)*!u}{!u} = \binom{n}{u}$$

Formally,

can pick  $r$  of the outcomes from the set of  $n$  to be successes.

where the coefficient  $\binom{n}{r}$  is the number of different ways that one

$$_{n-u}(d-1)d\binom{n}{u} = P[\text{exactly } r \text{ successes}]$$

success on each try is  $d$ .

The binomial formula gives the probability of exactly  $r$  "successes" in  $n$  tries, where  $n$  is fixed, each try is independent, and the probability of success on each try is  $d$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[\text{at least one }] &= 1 - P[\text{no descendants}] \\
 &= 1 - P[\text{first is not }] * P[\text{second is not}] * \dots * P[\text{twelfth is not}]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 - (0.7)^{12} = 0.986.$$

Suppose 30% of the students at Duke are direct descendants of Caligula. You go on 12 random dates. What is the probability of dating at least one descendant?

## 10.2 Some Exercises

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = 1 - .7^{12} \\
 & = 1 - \left( (.3)^0 (1 - .3)^{12} \right) \\
 P[\text{at least one}] & = 1 - P[\text{exactly 0}]
 \end{aligned}$$

But the trick used above applies here too:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[\text{exactly 12 descendants}] \\
 + \dots + P[\text{exactly one descendant}] = P[\text{at least one}]
 \end{aligned}$$

You could also use the binomial formula. The long way is to find

of "none" from 1 to get the probability of "one or more".  
 $A^c$  is the complement, or opposite, of  $A$ ), we can subtract the probability  
 finding the probability of "one or more". Since  $P[A] + P[A^c] = 1$  (recall  
 This used the trick that finding the probability of "none" was easier than

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[\text{two or fewer}] &= P[\text{exactly 0 descendants}] + \\
 &\quad P[\text{exactly 1 descendant}] + \\
 &\quad P[\text{exactly 2 descendants}] = \\
 &= \binom{12}{0} \cdot 3^0 \cdot 7^{12} + \binom{12}{1} \cdot 3^1 \cdot 7^{11} + \binom{12}{2} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^{10} \\
 &= 1 * .7^{12} + 12 * .3 * .7^{11} + 66 * .3^2 * .7^{10} \\
 &= .00138 + .07118 + .16779 \\
 &= .2528.
 \end{aligned}$$

What is the probability of two or fewer dates with Caligula scions?

$$P[2 \text{ or more matches}] = 1 - P[\text{no match}].$$

We know that

The Birthday Problem asks, "What is the probability that two or more people in a class of size  $n$  have the same birthday?"

$$P[\text{no match}] = \frac{365}{365} * \frac{364}{365}.$$

match is

If there are two people in the class, then  $n = 2$  and the probability of no

$$\cdot \frac{365^n}{(365)(364) * \dots * (365 - n + 1)} = P[\text{no match in } n]$$

The pattern continues. In general,

$$P[\text{no match}] = \frac{365}{365} * \frac{365}{364} * \frac{365}{363} * \frac{365}{362}.$$

For  $n = 4$

$$P[\text{no match}] = \frac{365}{365} * \frac{365}{364} * \frac{365}{363}.$$

If  $n = 3$  then

Therefore, using the complementation trick,

$$P[\text{one or more matches in } n] = 1 - \frac{365^n}{(365)(364) * \dots * (365 - n + 1)}.$$

This assumes that all days of the year are equally likely to be birthdays. This is only approximately true.

Consider poker game problems. Suppose you hold a king of hearts, a queen of hearts, a jack of hearts, a six of clubs, and two of spades. You discard the six and the two, and draw replacements. What is the chance that you hold just a pair of jacks?

For this to happen, one of your draws must be a jack, and the other must not be a king, queen or jack. If the jack is drawn first, then the chance is

$$\frac{3}{47} * \frac{46}{46-3-2} = .05273.$$

Now assume that the jack is drawn last. Then the chance of getting just

$$\text{a pair of jacks is } \frac{47}{47-3-3} * \frac{3}{46} = .05273.$$

So the probability of two jacks is .10546.

$$P[A_i|B] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P[B|A_i] * P[A_i]}{P[B|A_1] * P[A_1]}.$$

$P[A_1 \text{ or } A_2 \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } A_n] = 1$ . Then

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be mutually exclusive and suppose that

of conditional probability.

The simple version of Bayes' Rule is just an application of the definition

### 10.3 Bayes' Rule

heads given that the coin is fair. Bayes Rule says how your belief about the probability of fairness should change. The probability of fairness given that you observed 10 straight heads can be calculated in terms of the probability of getting 10 straight heads given that the coin is fair.

From the standpoint of the Bayesian definition of probability, suppose one's initial guess is that the coin is fair. Then one observes 10 heads in a row. How should one's belief about the probability of heads change? Bayes Rule says how your belief about the probability of fairness should change given the evidence.

The point of Bayes' Rule is to reverse the order of the conditioning. One finds the probability of  $A_1$  given  $B$  in terms of the probabilities of  $B$  given the  $A_i$ .

$$= .5385.$$

$$[(.7) * (.4) / [(.7) * (.4) + (.4) * (.6)] =$$

$$\frac{P[\text{red} \mid \text{heads}] * P[\text{heads}]}{P[\text{red} \mid \text{heads}] * P[\text{heads}] + P[\text{red} \mid \text{tails}] * P[\text{tails}]}$$

$$P[\text{heads} \mid \text{red}] =$$

Set  $B = \{\text{red}\}$ ,  $A_1 = \{\text{heads}\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{\text{tails}\}$ .

A coin has probability  $.4$  of coming up heads. If you toss a heads, you show me a red marble—what is the probability that you threw a heads? You toss tails, you draw from an urn that is  $40\%$  red and  $60\%$  yellow. If you draw a marble from an urn that has  $70\%$  red balls,  $30\%$  yellow. If you toss tails, you toss a tails.

Let  $A_2 = \{\text{do not have AIDS}\}$ .

We can use Bayes Rule. Let  $B = \{\text{positive test}\}$ ,  $A_1 = \{\text{have AIDS}\}$ , and

test comes back positive. What is the chance that you have AIDS?

Suppose you get an AIDS test (e.g., as part of a marriage license). Your

- About 32% of the U.S. population has AIDS

probability .985.

- If a person does not have AIDS, then ELISA does not signal with

- If a person has AIDS, ELISA has probability .997 of signaling.

ELISA is a test for AIDS.

So even though the test is positive, you are still unlikely to have AIDS. This is because the background rate of AIDS is quite low.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P[\text{pos} | \text{AIDS}] * P[\text{AIDS}] + P[\text{pos} | \text{OK}] * P[\text{OK}] \\
 & = (.997) * (.0032) / [(.997) * (.0032) + (1 - .985) * (1 - .0032)] \\
 & = .1758.
 \end{aligned}$$