♠ Outcomes and classification probabilities

- Expression level vector \mathbf{x}_j on array (tumor sample, etc) j
- Binary outcome: $z_j = 0$ or 1
 - codes a clinical or physiological endpoint, state or outcome
 - e.g., primary breast cancer ER+ $(z_j = 1)$ versus ER- $(z_j = 0)$
- Probability model estimates $\pi_j = Pr(z_j = 1)$ based on available information and data
 - Fit a model to estimate the π_j in training sample $j=1,\ldots,n$ classification, discrimination of cases in training sample
 - Evaluate/estimate π_j for validation cases j = n + 1, n + 2, ... predictive classification, validation, prognosis

♠ Binary regression models

- Linear regression model based on regression functions $\mu_j = \mathbf{x}_j' \boldsymbol{\beta}$
 - linear combinations, linear scoring, of expression levels of genes
 - p-vector of regression parameters β , one for each gene (plus intercept term β_0)
- Idea: model π_j as a function of \mathbf{x}_j in a similar fashion
 - But $0 < \pi_j < 1$ for probability, and μ_j is real-valued
 - Need truncation or transformation
 - Standard statistical models transform from real-value to (0,1) using a specified non-linear function: mapping μ_j to π_j
- Logistic regression:

$$\pi_j = 1/(1 + \exp(-\mu_j))$$

• Probit regression:

$$\pi_j = \Phi(\mu_j)$$

where Φ is standard normal cumulative distribution function

• Others ... all similar in form (any continuous distribution function does the trick)

♠ Probit models

- \bullet One nice, and important, interpretation: Latent threshold for 0/1
- Multiple regression outcome $y_j = \mathbf{x}_j' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_j$ on array j

i.e.,
$$y_j = \mu_j + \epsilon_j$$

- with a standard Gaussian (or normal) error term $\epsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$
- y_j is latent i.e., not observed, unknown, hidden
- The probability that y_j is positive is $\pi_j = \Phi(\mu_j)$
- A "hidden" underlying threshold mechanism in which a (weighted, super-gene measure of) expression levels determine the probability of outcome
- Also, $z_j = 1$ if and only if $y_j > 0$
 - latent variable is positive for ER+ cases, negative for ER- cases
 - could precisely classify cases if we could observe the latent y_j , but we do not; result is the binary probability model

♠ SVD regression and Bayesian analysis

- Dimension problem: p = 000's of genes, n = few microarrays. Ill-posed estimation problem many more variables than data points. May use SVD regression ideas, to map to factor regression $\mathbf{x}'_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{f}'_{j}\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (see Note 6) using the SVD analysis of expression data matrix \mathbf{X}
- As in Note 6, $\theta = \mathbf{B}'\beta$ or $\theta = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}'\beta$
- Multiple regression on the factor variables themselves as predictors
- n predictor variables, not p
- \bullet Regression parameter vector $\pmb{\theta}$ to estimate
- Dimension reduction of inference/estimation problem when p > n, as is the case in gene expression analyses
- Formal inference and prediction can be based on Bayesian analysis and its implicit stochastic regularisation of the estimation problem
 - remove some of the "least variable" factors
 - apply Bayesian analysis to the rest
- Corresponding estimation of β via $\beta = AD^{-1}\theta$

♠ Software, Computation and Summary

- Point estimate analysis: iterative computation of estimates of θ that are Bayesian posterior modes (EM algorithms, MAP estimation)
 - Choose a subset of genes to use in X
 - e.g., screen genes to choose the "top 100" in terms of raw sample correlation with ER or other binary outcome
 - Fit model on this reduced subset, using SVD regression
 - Point estimates of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and corresponding $\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- Full Bayesian analysis using stochastic simulation methods (Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation, Gibbs sampling)
 - iterative computation of simulation samples of values of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ whose distribution can be summarised to represent the information in the data about $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in terms of point estimates (the average sample value, for example), and probability intervals (taking fractiles/percentiles of the sample values). Map these values to corresponding values of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ to summarise too effects of individual genes on analysis
- Estimated or fitted classification probabilities in sample: $\pi_j = \Phi(\mu_j)$ with $\mu_j = \mathbf{x}_j' \boldsymbol{\beta}$
 - point estimate $\hat{\beta}$ implies estimate $\hat{\mu}_j$ and hence estimates of probabilities $\hat{\pi}_j = \Phi(\hat{\mu}_j)$
 - simulation samples of values of β imply corresponding simulation samples of values of μ_j and hence of the probabilities π_j . Summarise by averages, percentiles for interval estimates, etc

♠ Cross-validation and Prediction

- Include "validation samples" to be predicted to assess the realistic utility of the model in "forecasting" the probabilities of 0/1 outcomes for new cases: inference on π_j for $j = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ etc
- Also useful to explore One-at-a-time cross-validation studies, also known as Hold-one-out studies
 - Hold out sample i = 1, and fit model to cases $2, \ldots, n$
 - Estimate/infer π_1 to to assess how well case 1 is predicted based on the others
 - Repeat for case i = 2, then i = 3, and so forth
- Formal and "honest" assessment of model fit and adequacy
- Identifies "interesting" cases, those that fit least well
- Reflects real-life context of application of models
- n.b., if screening genes to select a subset, must do so separately in each CV analysis