

STA 102 Spring 2002
Chapter 18 Solutions to Suggested Even Problems

2,4, 6 not shown

8.

- a) If the four data points corresponding to infants whose gestational age is 38 weeks or greater are removed, CBVR no longer appears to increase in magnitude as gestational age increases.
- b) This information would add further credibility to the statement that there is no relationship between CBVR and gestational age.

10.

- a) Scatter plot not shown.
- b) The estimated least squares regression line is $\hat{y} = -760.524 + 51.239x$. Due to the influence of the point corresponding to an infant with an exceptionally small length and a large weight, the two lines probably differ.
- c) After the outlier has been removed, the new least squares regression line is $\hat{y} = -1864.27 + 79.889x$. Removing the outlier causes the line to become steeper; the slope increases and the intercept decreases.
- d) The value of R^2 increases from 41.78% to 75.79%. After removal of the outlier a greater amount of the variation in weight is explained by its linear relationship with length. The value of $s_{y|x}$ decreases from 199.86 to 130.85. The standard deviation from regression is a measure of the variability of the data points around the line. The outlier was relatively far from the fitted line and therefore had a large residual; when it is eliminated, $s_{y|x}$ decreases.

12.

- a) Scatter plot not shown. It appears that fatality rate at first decreases as year increases, but, after a number of years, begins to increase again.
- b) The estimated least squares regression line is $\hat{y} = 0.181 - 0.0102x$. The fit of the model seems to be less than adequate. About 55.9% of the variation in fatality rate is explained by its linear relationship with calendar year. However, the plot of the residuals versus the fitted values displays a U-shaped trend that is far from random. This suggests that transformation of either response variable or the explanatory variable is necessary.
- d) The estimated least squares regression line is $\hat{y} = 0.214 - 0.059 \ln(x)$. While the increase in R^2 from 55.9% to 84% indicates that a greater proportion of the variability is explained by the new model, the residual plot still displays a U-shaped curve.
- e) Scatter plot not shown.
- f) The estimated least squares regression line is $\hat{y} = 0.068 + 0.181(1/x)$. The increase in R^2 from 84% to 94.3% again signifies an improvement in fit relative to the previous models. In addition the residual plot no longer has a U-shaped pattern.
- g) The model containing the reciprocal of year appears to fit the data best. It has the largest coefficient of determination and the smallest SD. In addition the residual plot displays a more random scatter than either of the other plots. However, there are a couple of outliers.